

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/522,590	LOHWEG, VOLKER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SATH V. PERUNGA VOOR	2624	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SATH V. PERUNGA VOOR.

(2) Douglas R. Hanscom (Reg. No. 26,600).

**Date of Interview:** 22 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*24, 29 and 31*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner suggested amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's amendment. See Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Response to non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 8:00 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)